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Despite the many complexities concerning their initiation and propagation, forest fires exhibit power-law frequency-area statistics over many orders of magnitude. A simple forest fire model, which is an example of self-organized criticality, exhibits similar behavior. One practical implication of this result is that the frequency-area distribution of small and medium fires can be used to quantify the risk of large fires, as is routinely done for earthquakes.

Frequency-size distributions of natural hazards provide important information on calculating risk and are used in hazard mitigation (1). Robust power-law frequency-size distributions are associated with self-organized critical behavior. Examples of this behavior are found in a number of computer models: the sandpile model (2), the slider-block model (3), and the forest fire model (4). The slider-block model is considered to be an analog for earthquakes. Earthquakes exhibit a power-law dependence of occurrence frequency on rupture area and are considered to be the type example of self-organized critical behavior in nature (5). We found that, under a wide variety of circumstances, forest fires exhibit a power-law dependence of occurrence frequency on burn area over many orders of magnitude and that actual forest fires can be directly associated with the forest fire model. The only previous major application of the forest fire model was to epidemics of measles in isolated populations (6).

The forest fire model consists of randomly planting trees on a square grid at successive time steps and, at a specified number of time steps, randomly dropping a match on the grid. A maximum of one tree can occupy each grid site. The sparking frequency \( f_s \) is the inverse of the number of attempts to plant trees on the grid before a model match is dropped on a randomly chosen site. If \( f_s = 1/100 \), there have been 99 attempts to plant trees (some successful, some unsuccessful) before a match is dropped at the 100th time step. If the match is dropped on an empty site, nothing happens. If it is dropped on a tree, the tree ignites, and a model fire consumes that tree and all adjacent (non-diagonal) trees. Many variations on this basic forest fire model have been proposed (7).

Having specified the number of squares in the grid \( N_s \) and the sparking frequency, a computer simulation was run for a number of time steps \( N_t \), and the number of fires \( N_F \) with area \( A_P \) was determined; \( A_P \) is the number of trees that were burned in each fire. We examined the resulting noncumulative frequency-area distributions for three forest fire model simulations. The number of fires per time step \( N_{F,S} \) with area \( A_P \) is given as a function of \( A_P \) for a grid size of 128 by 128 squares at three sparking frequencies, \( f_s = 1/125, 1/500, \) and 1/2000 (Fig. 1). The different sparking frequencies represent short and long time inter vals between match drops. For all three sparking frequencies, there is a range of small to large fires, with many more small fires than larger ones. The small and medium fires correlate well with the power-law (fractal) relation

\[
\frac{N_F}{N_s} \propto A_P^{-\alpha}
\]

with \( \alpha = 1.0 \) to 1.2. The results for large fires are influenced by the finite-size effect of the grid. A value of \( \alpha \approx 1 \) in Eq. 1 indicates that, over the range where the relation holds, small and large fires contribute equally to the total number of trees burned by all fires.

Large forest fires are dominant when the sparking frequency is small (Fig. 1). This dominance is easily explained on physical grounds. For small sparking frequencies or small grid sizes, the grid becomes full before a match sparks a fire. The areas of the fires will generally involve a large number of trees, and in most cases, the fires will span the grid. This transition can be termed the “Yellowstone effect.” Until 1972, Yellowstone National Park had a policy of suppressing many of its fires, resulting in a large accumulation of dead trees, undergrowth, and very old trees (8). This accumulation is analogous to a small sparking frequency in the forest fire model. The grid becomes full, and the likelihood of very large fires is much higher than that in forest fire models with larger sparking frequencies. In 1988, a series of fires in Yellowstone burned 800,000 acres. These very large fires might have been prevented or reduced if, before 1972, the sparking frequency in Yellowstone had been larger (that is, if there had not been a policy of fire suppression). Many individuals in the forest fire community now recognize that the best way to prevent the largest forest fires is to allow the small and medium fires to burn.

We next assessed the frequency-area distributions of actual forest fires and wildfires using
Fig. 1. Noncumulative frequency-area distributions of model forest fires for a grid size of 128 by 128 squares at three sparking frequencies, $f_s = 1/125, 1/500,$ and $1/2000$. The number of fires per time step ($N_i/N_j$) with area ($A_j$) is given as a function of $A_j$, the number of trees that were burned in each fire. For each sparking frequency, the model is run for $N_j = 1.638 \times 10^9$ time steps. The small and medium fires correlate well with the power-law relation (Eq. 1) with $\alpha = 1.02$ to 1.18; $-\alpha$ is the slope of the best-fit line in log-log space and is shown for each sparking frequency. The finite grid-size effect can be seen at the smallest sparking frequency, $f_s = 1/2000$. At about $A_j = 2000$, fires begin to span the entire grid.

Fig. 2. Noncumulative frequency-area distributions for actual forest fires and wildfires in the United States and Australia: (A) 4284 fires on U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service lands during 1986—1995; (B) 120 fires in the western United States (1150—1960); (C) 164 fires in Alaskan boreal forests (1990—1991); and (D) 298 fires in the ACT (1926—1991). For each data set, the noncumulative number of fires per year ($-dN_0/dA_j$) with area ($A_j$) is given as a function of $A_j$ (I3). In each case, a reasonably good correlation over many decades of $A_j$ is obtained by using the power-law relation (Eq. 1) with $\alpha = 1.31$ to 1.49; $-\alpha$ is the slope of the best-fit line in log-log space and is shown for each data set.

The occurrence frequency of small and medium fires can be used to quantify the risk of large fires. The behavior of the forest fire model can be used to assess the role of controlled burns to reduce the hazard of very large fires.
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Molecular Assembly and Encapsulation Directed by Hydrogen-Bonding Preferences and the Filling of Space
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Multiple copies of a molecule, held together in finite aggregates, give rise to properties and functions that are unique to their assembled states. Because these aggregates are held together by weak forces operating over short distances, a premium is placed on complementarity: The molecular surfaces must facilitate specific interactions that direct the assembly to one aggregate rather than another. Hydrogen-bonding preferences can be combined with molecular curvature to favor the assembly of four self-complementary subunits into a pseudo-spherical capsule. Filling the capsule with smaller, complementary molecules provides the final instruction for the assembly process.

Self-assembling systems highlight much of the current research in the chemistry of molecular recognition. The instructions for assembly are often written in the size, shape, and chemical surfaces of the interacting molecules. Hydrogen bonds, with their moderately directional characteristics and predictable patterns, are especially useful instructions, and their incorporation in molecules has resulted in a spectacular array of assemblies: Molecular ribbons (1), tapes (2), sheets (3), cages (4), rosettes (5), cubes (6), and capsules (7) have all been designed, synthesized, and characterized, both in solution and in the solid state. For the capsules, the formula has been the dimerization of self-complementary structures with appropriate curvature (7, 8). These result in assemblies that reversibly encapsulate smaller compounds to give “molecule-within-molecule” complexes (9). Here, we report the synthesis and characterization of a capsule composed of four identical subunits. We interpret its assembly and encapsulation behavior in terms of instruction in hydrogen bonding, molecular curvature, and the availability of suitable guest species.

Consider structure 1 as a candidate for assembly (Fig. 1A). At first glance, the presence of both H bond donors and acceptors in the molecule predicts some type of aggregation. However, the structure has particular contacts that suggest a specific array could form, as opposed to a less specific structure (10). First, the seven-membered ring adjacent to the glycoluril ring system at one end of the molecule and the α oxygen of the sulfoxide at the other end impart a curvature to the structure: A cyclic array of molecules is probable (Fig. 1B). Second, the most acidic H bond donor (the sulfamide N-H) (11) is expected to pair with the most basic acceptor (the glycoluril carbonyl oxygen). These features can be expressed in a head-to-tail arrangement of four units in a macrocycle such as 1, (Fig. 1C) (12), in which all of the H bond donors find their complements—at nearly ideal distances and geometries—on nearest neighbors. Figure 1D shows the pattern of the 16 hydrogen bonds that hold the assembly together. This assembly creates a capsule with $D_{4h}$ symmetry and a cavity for encapsulation of complementary guest molecules (Fig. 1E).

These notions were tested through the synthesis of compounds 1a and 1b (Fig. 2). For 1a, 4,5-dimethyl-1,2-phenylenediamine 2 was converted into the cyclic sulfoxide, then protected as its tert-butyl carbamate (BOC group) to yield 3. Benzylid dibromination of 3 gave 4, which was coupled with the glycoluril building block 5a (13) to yield 6. Removal of the PMB (p-methoxybenzyl) and BOC protecting groups, using CAN (ceric ammonium nitrate) and CF$_3$CO$_2$H respectively, generated 1a. The same synthetic scheme was followed to obtain monomer 1b, which showed better solubility properties.

Subunit 1b is readily soluble in dimethyl sulfoxide-d$_6$ (DMSO-d$_6$) (Fig. 3A) and other solvents that compete well for hydrogen bonds, and it exists in a monomeric state in